

Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

☒ Original
 ☐ Updated
 ☐ Corrected
 ☐ Supplemental

LRB Number 09-3069/9	Introduction Number AB-0487
Description Various changes in the unemployment insurance law and providing a penalty	
Fiscal Effect State: <div style="display: flex; flex-wrap: wrap;"> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations </div> </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No </div> <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs </div> </div> Local: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <div style="display: flex; flex-wrap: wrap;"> <div style="width: 33%;"> 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory </div> </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory </div> </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected <div style="display: flex; flex-wrap: wrap;"> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Village <input type="checkbox"/> Others <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Cities </div> </div> </div> </div> <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory </div> </div> <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory </div> </div>	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives

R&L 10/16/2009

LRB Number	09-3069/9	Introduction Number	AB-0487	Estimate Type	Original
Description Various changes in the unemployment insurance law and providing a penalty					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill would have no fiscal impact on the Department of Regulation and Licensing.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☒ Original
 ☐ Updated
 ☐ Corrected
 ☐ Supplemental

LRB Number 09-3069/9		Introduction Number AB-0487	
Description Various changes in the unemployment insurance law and providing a penalty			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect): 			
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category			
	State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$
	(FTE Position Changes)		
	State Operations - Other Costs		
	Local Assistance		
	Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
	TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$
B. State Costs by Source of Funds			
	GPR		
	FED		
	PRO/PRS		
	SEG/SEG-S		
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)			
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
	GPR Taxes	\$	\$
	GPR Earned		
	FED		
	PRO/PRS		
	SEG/SEG-S		
	TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT			
		<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$	\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$	\$
Agency/Prepared By		Authorized Signature	
R&L/ Jim Parker (608) 266-0746		Hector Colon (608) 266-8608	
		Date	
		10/16/2009	

Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

☒ Original
 ☐ Updated
 ☐ Corrected
 ☐ Supplemental

LRB Number 09-3069/9	Introduction Number AB-0487
Description Various changes in the unemployment insurance law and providing a penalty	
Fiscal Effect State: <div style="display: flex; flex-wrap: wrap;"> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations </div> </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No </div> </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No </div> </div> </div>	
Local: <div style="display: flex; flex-wrap: wrap;"> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory </div> <div> 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory </div> </div> </div> </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected <div style="display: flex; flex-wrap: wrap;"> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Village <input type="checkbox"/> Others <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts </div> <div style="width: 33%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Cities </div> </div> </div> </div>	
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS </div> <div> Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations </div> </div>	
Agency/Prepared By DWD/ Richard Tillema (608) 267-9807	Authorized Signature Jessica Erickson (608) 266-2284
Date 10/13/2009	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DWD 10/13/2009

LRB Number	09-3069/9	Introduction Number	AB-0487	Estimate Type	Original
Description					
Various changes in the unemployment insurance law and providing a penalty					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill provides for technical and administrative changes that will facilitate the processing of Unemployment Insurance claims. Counting in the week received that portion of a lump sum pension payment that may be counted under Wisconsin law will eliminate a complex process of allocation that can lead to erroneous determinations and delay payment of claims. This change is estimated to add \$200,000 to the total benefit expenditures incurred by private, taxable employers and have no significant impact on state or local expenditures under which receipt of lump sum pension payments is uncommon.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

When federal regulations are promulgated by the Treasury Department, the U.S. Department of Labor will allow the state to intercept federal income tax refunds to repay the state for Unemployment Insurance benefits that were fraudulently obtained. The state currently collects approximately \$1.3 million from a similar state income tax intercept. Assuming comparable experience from the federal tax intercept, the state could recover \$1.3 million from that source as well.